metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Mohammed Bakir,^a* Tara P. Dasgupta,^a Sujit K. Dutta,^a Nurziana Ngah^b and Bohari M. Yamin^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, The University of the West Indies, Mona Campus, Kingston 7, Jamaica, and ^bX-ray Crystallography Laboratory, School of Chemical Sciences and Food Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43600 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: mohammed.bakir@uwimona.edu.jm

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 273 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.040 wR factor = 0.102 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Porous solvent-free μ_2 -bis(salicylidene)propane-1,3-diaminato-bis{[bis(salicylidene)propane-1,3-diaminato]iron(III)}

The title compound, $[Fe_2(C_{17}H_{16}N_2O_2)_3]$, was isolated from the reaction between iron(III) perchlorate hydrate and a mixture of 1,3-bis(salicylideneamino)propane and triethylamine in ethanol. Recrystallization from a dichloroethane solution resulted in a porous solvent-free array of molecules. The molecule lies on a special position with twofold symmetry. Received 13 June 2005 Accepted 28 June 2005 Online 6 July 2005

Comment

Tetradentate bis(salicylidene) Schiff base ligands of the type HOC_6H_4CH — $N(CH_2)_nN$ — CHC_6H_4OH , where n = 1, 2 or 3, and their metal complexes have been extensively studied because of their physico-chemical properties, reactivity patterns, and use as catalysts and models for a variety of biological systems (Gerli *et al.*, 1991; Reddy *et al.*, 1998; Fanning *et al.*, 1995; Pasini *et al.*, 2000; Babu *et al.*, 1997). A recent search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.26 of November 2004; Allen, 2002) yielded over 500 structures of various derivatives of HOC_6H_4CH — $N(CH_2)_3$ -N— CHC_6H_4OH and its metal complexes.

Although the structure of HOC₆H₄CH=N(CH₂)₃-N=CHC₆H₄OH, H₂Salpn (Elderman *et al.*, 1991), and six of its iron complexes, including Fe^{III}(Salpn)(μ_2 -Salpn)Fe^{III}-(Salpn)-solvent, where solvent = ethanol or acetonitrile (Elmali *et al.*, 1993; Babu *et al.*, 1997; Gerli *et al.*, 1991; Reddy *et al.*, 1998; Fanning *et al.*, 1995; You *et al.*, 2004), have been reported, to our knowledge the structure of a solvent-free modification of the latter species has not been described to date. Here, we describe the synthesis and structure of the title solvent-free compound, Fe^{III}(Salpn)(μ_2 -Salpn)Fe^{III}(Salpn), (I). We note that this compound crystallizes in a different space group from the solvate structure determined by Babu *et al.* (1997).



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



A drawing of the molecular structure of (I), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids. H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Atoms with the label suffix A are generated by the symmetry operation (-x, y, y) $\frac{1}{2} - z$).

A view of (I) (Fig. 1) reveals the presence of two Fe^{III} ions accompanied by two terminal tetradentate-N,N,O,O Salpn²⁻ anions and one bridging bis(bidentate-N,O) Salpn²⁻ species. The complete molecule is generated by twofold symmetry (atom C26 is located on the twofold axis). The coordination about the Fe atom is approximately octahedral, with the equatorial sites occupied by two O atoms and one N atom from the terminal Salpn²⁻ and one O atom from bridging Salpn²⁻. The axial sites are occupied by N atoms from terminal (N1) and bridging Salpn. Overall, a fac-FeN₃O₃ coordination geometry results. The deviations of the cis bond angles from 90° can be correlated with the constraints associated with the tetradentate and bidentate binding modes of Salpn²⁻. The N,N-metallocyclic ring adopts a chair conformation. The overall geometry of (I) is similar to that reported for $Fe^{III}(Salpn)(\mu_2-Salpn)Fe^{III}(Salpn)\cdot solvent$ (solvent = acetonitrile; Babu et al., 1997).

A view of the packing in (I) along the c axis is shown in Fig. 2. There may be some weak $C-H\cdots O$ interactions (Table 2) involved in stabilizing the conformation of (I). The intermolecular voids are clearly discernible. A calculation of the solvent-accessible volume yielded 352 $Å^3$ of empty space per unit cell in the structure (Spek, 2003, 2004). This is consistent with the final Fourier difference map, which shows no peak greater than 0.5 e $Å^{-3}$ after the final refinement. The vacant regions may arise from geometrical packing constraints, or may be due to loss of solvent of crystallization without structure collapse.



Figure 2 The packing of (I), viewed along the c axis.

Experimental

Compound (I), $Fe^{III}(Salpn)(\mu_2-Salpn)Fe^{III}(Salpn)$, was isolated in good yield from Fe(ClO₄)₃·xH₂O (354 mg), 1,3-bis(salicylidenimino)propane (423 mg) and (CH₃CH₂)₃N in ethanol (50 ml), following a procedure similar to that described by Babu et al. (1997). Solvent-free dark-red crystals of (I) were obtained from the slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution of Fe^{III}(Salpn)- $(\mu_2$ -Salpn)Fe^{III}(Salpn).

Crystal data

$Fe_2(C_{17}H_{16}N_2O_2)_3]$	$D_x = 1.282 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$A_r = 952.65$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Aonoclinic, C2/c	Cell parameters from 1015
a = 24.463 (4) Å	reflections
e = 14.818 (2) Å	$\theta = 1.6-26.0^{\circ}$
= 15.911 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.64 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$B = 121.138 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 273 (2) K
$V = 4936.8 (14) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, dark red
Z = 4	$0.44 \times 0.40 \times 0.24 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

298 parameters

refinement

H atoms treated by a mixture of

independent and constrained

Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-	4846 independent reflections 3692 reflections with $L > 2\sigma(I)$
(a) scans	$R_{\rm ex} = 0.024$
Absorption correction: multi scan	$\theta = 26.0^{\circ}$
(SAD A DS: Shaldwick 1006)	$b_{\rm max} = 20.0$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$n = -29 \rightarrow 29$
$I_{\min} = 0.766, I_{\max} = 0.861$	$k = -18 \rightarrow 14$
13618 measured reflections	$l = -15 \rightarrow 19$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0513P)^2$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	+ 2.0537P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.102$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.01	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
4846 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Tabl	e 1
------	-----

			0	
Selected	geometric	parameters	(Å, '	°).

Fe1-O1	1.9227 (14)	Fe1-N2	2.1491 (19)
Fe1-O3	1.9458 (15)	Fe1-N1	2.1725 (18)
Fe1-O2	1.9603 (15)	Fe1-N3	2.1859 (17)
O1-Fe1-O3	99.21 (7)	O2-Fe1-N1	100.32 (7)
O1-Fe1-O2	88.95 (6)	N2-Fe1-N1	82.50 (7)
O3-Fe1-O2	170.59 (6)	O1-Fe1-N3	94.35 (6)
O1-Fe1-N2	163.35 (7)	O3-Fe1-N3	85.01 (6)
O3-Fe1-N2	90.10 (7)	O2-Fe1-N3	89.74 (6)
O2-Fe1-N2	83.14 (7)	N2-Fe1-N3	100.22 (7)
O1-Fe1-N1	84.55 (7)	N1-Fe1-N3	169.84 (7)
O3-Fe1-N1	85.20 (7)		

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C10-H10A\cdots O3$ $C26-H26A\cdots O2$	0.97	2.50	3.052 (4)	116
	0.95 (2)	2.56 (3)	3.213 (2)	126 (2)

Atom H26A attached to atom C26 was positioned geometrically and freely refined. All other H atoms were placed in idealized geometry, with C–H distances in the range 0.93–0.97 Å, and were refined as riding, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(carrier)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve

structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*, *PARST* (Nardelli, 1995) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

The authors acknowledge the University of the West Indies for a research fellowship to Dr Sujit K. Dutta and Universiti Kerbangsaan Malaysia for the X-ray facility.

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.
- Babu, N. K. C., Nair, B. U., Ramasami, T., Yamasaki, M. & Shibahara, T. (1997). Chem. Lett. pp. 975–976.
- Elderman, Y., Svoboda, I. & Feuss, H. (1991). Z. Kristallogr. 196, 309-311.
- Elmali, A., Atakol, O. & Fuess, H. (1993). Z. Kristallogr. 203, 271-274.
- Fanning, J. C., Wang, X., Koziol, A. E. & Palenik, G. (1995). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 232, 199–201.
- Gerli, A., Hagen, K. S. & Marzilli, L. G. (1991). Inorg. Chem. 30, 4673-4676.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Pasini, A., Demartin, F., Piovesana, O., Chiari, B., Cinti, A. & Crispu, O. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3467–3472.
- Reddy, K. R., Rajasekharan, M. V. & Tuchagues, J.-P. (1998). Inorg. Chem. 37, 5978–5982.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Versions 4.0. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Spek, A. L. (2004). *PLATON*. Version of March 2004. University of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- You, Z.-L., Zhu, H.-L. & Liu, W.-S. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, m794-m796.